

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,681	02/07/2001	Kazuo Hakamata	Q61216	3338
7:	590 12/13/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN			LEE, SHUN K	
MACPEAK &			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2. 102		
Advisory Action	09/777,681	HAKAMATA, KAZU)		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shun Lee	2878			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		·			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t of the final rejection.	donment of this applic which places the applic 41.31; or (3) a Reques he following time peric	cation in st for Continued ods:		
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire l 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appel was filed on <u>02 December 2004</u> . A brief in compliance with Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u> .	ith 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed with reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid di	nin two months of the cosmissal of the appeal.	late of filing the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous to the continuous training and the continuous training and the continuous training are training as the continuous train			cause		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	ow);		the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		e entered and an expl	anation of how		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	 Vital		
		STANTINE HANN RIMARY EXAMIN	AHER		
	·	OUP ART UNIT 2			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

09/777.681

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment raises new issues (e.g., proposed claim 4 recite, and similarly for proposed claim 3, "wherein the non-imaging region comprises a region where the fluorescence is not received" and also "said non-imaging region consisting of a region where no fluorescence is received" makes it uncertain whether the non-imaging region comprises or alternatively is consisting of) that would require further consideration and/or search. See double inclusion in MPEP 2173.05(o).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant arguments that region C is not a priori known since Lazarev et al. state (column 1, lines 43-48) that "Each of the laterally spaced images are passed through respective ones of filters 30, 32 to the photosensitive front surface of LLL image pickup device 28. Beam splitter assembly 58 also includes a lens 78 for focusing the pair of laterally separated images onto the LLL image pickup device 28. Lenses 70 and 78 may be variable focus or zoom type lenses to accommodate endoscopes having field stops of various sizes". However, the key phrase is "may be". Thus implicit within Lazarev et al.'s disclosure are non-variable focus or non-zoom type lenses which accommodate endoscopes having field stops of a pre-determined and non-varying size. Therefore, an endoscope's field stop (60 in Fig. 2) having a pre-determined size defines the lateral size of the image which is focus onto the second image sensor (28 in Fig. 3) and region C is clearly a priori known.